UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI CENTRAL DIVISION

Michael Barrett, IV, et al.,		
DI : .:cc)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	0 11 04040 NIZI
V.)	2:11-cv-04242-NKL
D 11M Cl 1 4 1)	
Donald M. Claycomb, et al.;)	
D.C. 1.)	
Defendants.)	

EMERGENCY MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

Come now Plaintiffs, pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 65(b)(2), and moves this Court for entry of an order extending the temporary restraining order entered on September 14, 2011, so that it remains in full force in effect for an additional fourteen days. In support of this motion, Plaintiffs state:

- In this action, Plaintiffs challenge the constitutionality of the drug testing policy adopted by the Board of Regents of Linn State Technical College on or about June 17, 2011.
- 2. On September 14, 2011, this Court entered a temporary restraining order enjoining any further testing of samples and any reporting of results to the school.
- 3. On September 23, 2011, this Court indicated that a hearing would be held on Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction on October 25, 2011.
- 4. Defendants had told Plaintiffs and this Court that they would consent to an extension of the temporary restraining order until the hearing. Defendants no longer consent.
- 5. Plaintiffs submit that the temporary restraining order may be modified to clarify that it applies only to drug testing conducted pursuant to the policy adopted by the Board

of Regents of Linn State Technical College on or about June 17, 2011. Defendants do not consent to this modification.

6. If the temporary restraining order lapses, Plaintiffs will be subjected to irreparable

harm. No harm will come to Defendants if the order is extended for an additional

fourteen days. There is good cause for an extension.

7. This motion should be considered on an expedited basis because Plaintiffs will be

irreparably harmed if the temporary restraining order lapses, their motion for

preliminary injunction is pending, and their reliance on the representation of

Defendants that they would agree to an extension if the TRO was modified to clarify

that it applies only to drug testing conducted pursuant to the new policy was

reasonable.

WHEREFORE Plaintiffs move this Court for entry of an order extending the temporary

restraining order for fourteen additional days. In addition, this Court may modify the temporary

restraining order to clarify that it applies only to drug testing conducted pursuant to the policy

adopted by the Board of Regents of Linn State Technical College on or about June 17, 2011.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Anthony E. Rothert

ANTHONY E. ROTHERT, #44827MO

GRANT R. DOTY, #60788MO

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION

OF EASTERN MISSOURI

454 Whittier Street

St. Louis, Missouri 63108

(314) 652-3114

FAX: (314) 652-3112

JASON D. WILLIAMSON¹
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
UNION FOUNDATION
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 284-7340

FAX: (212) 549-2654

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

3

¹ Admitted pro hac vice.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of	the foregoing was so	erved by operation of	of the Court	CM/ECF
system upon counsel for each	of the Defendants or	n September 23, 201	11.	

/s/ Anthony	E. Rothert